EXHIBIT 3

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

			1 (Fages 1 to 4)
	1		3
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	1	APPEARANCES:
3 4	EASTERN DIVISION	2	Attorneys for the Plaintiff:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	VITO A. PESCE, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated, ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	KEOGH LAW, LTD. BY: KEITH J. KEOGH, ESQ. 101 North Wacker Drive Suite 605 Chicago, Illinois 60606 866-726-1092 (Via Telephone) Attorneys for the Defendants: HINSHAW & CULBERTSON, LLP BY: JAMES C. VLAHAKIS, ESQ. 222 North LaSalle Street Suite 300 Chicago, Illinois 60606 312-704-3000
	2	25	4
1 2 3 4 5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION VITO A. PESCE, on behalf of *himself and all other similarly *situated, *	1 2 3 4 5	INDEX EXAMINATION PAGE By: Mr. Keogh 5, 148 By: Mr. Vlahakis 141
7	Plaintiff, * * Vs * 11-cv-1379	7 8 9	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FIRST CREDIT SERVICES, INC., dba * ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE TECHNOLOGIES,* * Defendants. * * TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above entitled matters, as taken by and before CHARLES R. SENDERS, Certified Shorthand Reporter (License No. 596) and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of N.J. Steno, Inc., 171 Green Street, Woodbridge, New Jersey	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition 9 Exhibit 2 Affidavit of M. Leraris 59 Exhibit 3 Series of Emails 72 Exhibit 4 Series of Emails 82 Exhibit 5 LiveVox Voice Portal 93 Exhibit 6 First Set of Interrogatories 96 Exhibit 7 Supplemental Answers 101 Exhibit 10 Declaration of Frank Russo 120
22 23 24 25	on Thursday, November 17, 2011, commencing at 10:00 in the morning, pursuant to notice.	23 24 25	

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

109 111 1 Q. So you received 132 documents 1 if that's the right number--2 back. Right now seventy-five percent of the 2 A. Hold on, what was the number you 3 3 132 show consent. Is that fair? gave me? 4 Q. 2,980? 4 A. We're not done with our 5 5 investigation yet. MR. VLAHAKIS: Stop talking over 6 Q. I'm not there. If you can just 6 each other. 7 7 answer the question, we can get through this. 2,980, plus 132, 3,112. Actually, You can tell me what else you are doing 8 it is 2,989 plus 132, comes out to 3,113, minus 8 9 expecting to get consent for the rest of that. 9 132. So the exact number is 2,981. That's the 10 10 You hope a truckload of documents arrives exact number. tomorrow showing consent for every one. 11 All right. So for those 2,981 11 Q. 12 I want to talk about what you have 12 persons--13 right now, then we can talk about what steps 13 A. Yes. you re taking to get the balance of the --FCS is still waiting for 14 14 information, okay? 15 information to show consent. Is that fair? 15 16 A. Okay. 16 A. 17 So I'm just going to reiterate what 17 Q. You mentioned you just received Q. I think we have here. So we have 3,183 18 documents. What creditor asked you to subpoena 18 19 19 persons; correct? them? 20 I'm sorry, say that again? 20 A. Persons, yes, correct. A. 21 21 Q. A moment ago you mentioned some Q. creditor requested that FCS subpoena them? 22 22 MR. VLAHAKIS: So Keith, can you 23 I believe that was BMW. 23 stop, 3,183? 24 THE WITNESS: 24 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to go through 25 a list here that was given to me. Are you 25 A. I'm sorry, I got 3,113. 110 112 1 3,113. Thank you. So we have 1 still waiting for additional information from 2 3,113? 2 Advanced Mercury? A. I can tell you, Keith, that we will 3 3 A. Correct. 4 4 cooperate to our level best to get all of this O. So far FCS has documents, 132 5 documents and seventy-five percent of which 5 information. It is a very time consuming show consent; correct? 6 6 process. 7 7 Q. Okay. Α. Yes. 8 Do you have an exact number rather 8 A. It is also a process that we can't control. We got to kind of-- you know, it is 9 than just seventy-five percent? 9 10 A. Say that again? 10 our client who is giving us this information. Q. Okay. Do you have any time frames 11 Q. Do you know how much--of the 132, 11 12 exactly how many FCS believes show consent? 12 on when FCS believes it can obtain all the I can get that for you. 13 information it needs to show whether there is 13 Please. I know you were saying 14 14 Q. consent or not? seventy-five percent. You know, to me that 15 15 A. I can tell you, Keith, those 132 seventy-five percent is ninety-nine. Which took well over a month already. If you want to 16 16 17 means that the others don't have consent right 17 do the math on that. 18 18 Q. Well, what other creditors are now. 19 A. I can get that for you. 19 going to send you the documents or you just Q. But you believe it is around 20 don't know? 20 seventy-five percent? 21 21 A. I'm sorry? 22 A. It is a very high percent, well 22 I'm trying to figure out what creditors had promised-- you said BMW. What 23 into the seventy-five range. 23 24 So, one second here. So for the 24 has Advanced Mercury told FCS? I don't know on a specific basis, 25 other 2,908 persons, I have to calculate, see

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

121 123 1 about Document 36-7, filed 8/9/11. That's the 1 you hear? 2 signature page? 2 MR. KEOGH: Sure. 3 3 MR. VLAHAKIS: We gave you the MR. KEOGH: Yes. 4 3,113. That was persons as opposed to cell 4 Q. Mr. Russo, are you on page seven of 5 5 thirty? phone numbers. 6 6 A. The interrogatory I saw after the A. Yes. 7 7 fact, required us to identify the persons not O. There is a name Frank Russo there. 8 8 the cell phone numbers. Does that make sense. Do you see that? 9 A. I do. 9 Q. In part, yeah. So then I guess the 10 question is, taking a step backwards from this 10 Q. Then there is not a signature, but affidavit, how many cell phone numbers were there is a Frank Russo cursive or title there. 11 11 12 Do you see that? 12 were there for the 3,113 persons? 13 I believe, didn't we just cover 13 A. I do. A. Did you sign this document? 14 14 Q. that? 15 Yes. 15 MR. VLAHAKIS: Are you asking total Α. 16 Q. How did you sign this document? 16 cell phone calls placed or numbers associated 17 17 I don't remember. with these persons? A. 18 Well, you signed a version of this 18 MR. KEOGH: Cell phone numbers 19 document via handwriting? 19 associated with the persons. A. I really don't remember how we did 20 THE WITNESS: So that would be 20 21 it, to be honest with you. But I do recall 21 3,113-- hold on, read that question to me 22 22 again, please. There are so many numbers going this, absolutely. 2.3 Q. Okay. Turning to the first page, 23 on. 24 Declaration of Frank Russo, who prepared this 24 Q. That's fine. We had we established 25 25 that due to some duplicate information the document? 122 124 1 A. **Declaration of Frank Russo?** 1 correct number of persons called on the cell 2 2 phone were 3,113; correct? Q. Yes, sir. 3 3,113 people, yes. 3 What would you be--Α. 4 Who prepared it? 4 How many cell phone numbers? Q. O. 5 Who prepared it? 5 Α. A. 3.113. So there is no person with more 6 Q. 6 Q. 7 7 than one cell phone number? I would imagine my attorney did. A. 8 Q. Okay. Did you make any revisions to 8 I don't believe so, no. 9 the affidavit? 9 So back to paragraph seven, I'll 10 10 read it. I'll twitch the number. I want you A. I didn't make any revisions. I 11 don't recall making any revisions. 11 to tell me if I'm correct. During the relevant 12 So, going to paragraph seven, which 12 time period of the proposed class, January 20, 2010 and February 28, 2011, FCS called 3,113 is on page three of thirty of your affidavit, 13 13 14 "during the relevant time period"? cell phone numbers in Illinois. Is that 14 15 Yeah, I'm readking it now. correct? 15 Okay. Now, am I correct that 16 16 Α. That's correct. 17 number should be modified as 3,113 calls rather 17 Q. And then if we added, for a total 18 than 6,381? 18 of 164,317 times. Is that correct? 19 A. Yes. 19 That's what we said. It was--20 So during the relevant time period 20 didn't we say 164,317. of the proposed class, January 1st, 2010 and 21 21 Q. I believe so. All right. 22 February 28th 2011, FCS called 3,113 cell phone MR. VLAHAKIS: I may have to clear 22 23 numbers in Illinois. Is that correct? this up later, Keith, in case you want to keep 23 24 A. No. 24 going down this road. 25 MR. VLAHAKIS: Keith, can I help 25 MR. KEOGH: Understood.

Maxene Weinberg Agency (800) 640-1949

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

129 131 1 1 matter for him, when he was absolutely upset paragraph twenty-three, please? 2 A. I'm going to read it. 2 about his credit report like this? He have 3 3 Please go, ahead. that cell phone number, without a doubt. 4 4 All right. On top of that, his wife calls in Α. 5 5 Q. What does paragraph twenty-three and she--I just want to finish this. His wife 6 state? 6 calls in and she provides GMAC with the cell 7 7 phone phone. Number which clearly shows that A. Do you want me to read it as stated 8 8 his wife gave a cell phone number of her own. here? 9 Q. Yes, if you want, or you can 9 Which shows that GMAC could have updated that 10 10 summarize? information right there at that point as well. 11 11 A. "When Nuvell/GMAC transmitted this Q. Okay. Is that it? 12 account for collection to FCS, it provided two 12 A. So I'm going to say it one more 13 numbers as plaintiff's contact number. 847 and 13 time, I want an exclamation mark on this one. 14 14 312. See Exhibit D, placement records and Mr. Pesce gave the cell phone number with 15 Exhibit E (GMAC code sheet identifying 166-181 15 consent, exclamation mark. 16 16 as the code from a home number)". Q. Well, if it is only that simple we 17 17 The 847 number that you referred wouldn't be here, would we? 18 to, the last four digits are 9705; correct? 18 A. I think it is that simple. 19 19 All right. Just to be clear, when A. Yes. 20 Q. It is your understanding, as you 20 you are referring to Ms. Pesce calling, giving 21 sit here today, that is Mr. Pesce's cell phone 21 her cell phone, there is no record of her 22 22 giving the 9705 number, is there? number? 23 23 Α. Yes. Α. There isn't. 24 O. So FCS' contention is that GMAC 24 There is no record of GMAC 25 25 verifying how the information from Mr. Pesce, provided Mr. Pesce's cell phone number to FCS 130 132 1 to call: correct? 1 that he gave the 9705 information, is there? 2 A. Mr. Pesce, absolutely, gave his 2 There is no indication of it right 3 3 cell number to our client, without a doubt. now. But I can tell you this investigation to 4 4 All right. What are you basing that get that is not over, my friends. 5 5 Q. All right. Well we'll deal with it on? 6 6 A. Lots of things. Number one, our if it comes up later. Just let's just deal 7 7 with it what we have now? client GMAC never did, based on the discovery 8 8 Right it is not over. the information that was looked at, they never 9 9 did any call capture to get that cell phone Do you have any communications from 10 10 number. There is no evidence at all indicating Mr. Pesce or have a mailing list, any documents 11 that our client, GMAC, did any skip tracing to 11 of Mr. Pesce, giving the number 9705? 12 obtain that cell phone number. 12 Α. I don't believe so. 13 13 On top of that, it is common Are there any notations or any 14 14 procedure for creditors, not just our clients, documents from GMAC showing that Mr. Pesce 15 15 but for creditors across the country, that when provided that 9705 number? 16 16 a customer calls in or they contact somebody, A. I did not see that. 17 17 it is very customary that they will first seek Q. So it seems to me that your 18 18 exclamation point, to give it, is all based to update contact addresses, information from 19 19 upon your belief that they had to get it from that customer. 20 20 On top of that, your client, Mr. somewhere and it probably happened; am I wrong? 21 21 Pesce was so concerned about his credit report It is there, Keith and we'll find 22 22 and wrote numerous letters back and forth to it. 23 23 our client, do you really think that Mr. Pesce You are saying find it. Meaning 24 24 you don't have it right now; correct? would not have given his cell phone number to 25 call back so that our client can resolve this We don't have it right now. But I